IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP NO.17041 of 2006
DATE OF DECISION: October 28, 2006

Manju Goel

….Petitioner

VERSUS

Union of India and others

…..Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINEY MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. BHALLA

PRESENT: Shri D.S.Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Viney Mittal,J.(Oral).

The petitioner has challenged the allotment of a retail outlet to respondent No.4 Smt. Tripat Kaur. It has been claimed by the petitioner that she is more meritorious than respondent No.4 and, as such, was entitled to the allotment of the aforesaid retail outlet.

After hearing the learned counsel for the petitioner and taking into consideration the averments made by her in the present petition, we are satisfied that the petitioner has raised such questions which cannot be adjudicated for want of evidence by this Court.

Consequently, we dispose of the present petition and relegate the petitioner to seek her remedies before the Civil Court, if so advised.

Disposed of with the aforesaid liberty.

(Viney Mittal)
Judge

October 28, 2006
KD

(H.S. Bhalla)
Judge